IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.25344 of 2008
VINAY KUMAR SINGH
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

2 17.7.2008. Heard counsel for the petitioner and the State.

Initially the F.I.R. was instituted under Section 414/34 of the Indian Penal Code against Deepak Kumar Singh alias Deepak Kumar and Mithilesh Singh. So far petitioner is concerned he was not named in the F.I.R. till the date the Sessions Judge callred for a report from the S.P.Rohtas while considering the prayer for anticipatory bail on behalf of Deepak Singh that why Vinay Kumar Singh who is the petitioner has not been implicated as accused since he has purchased stolen vehicle from Deepak Singh.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Counsel for the petitioner submits that he being the bonafide purchaser has not committed any offence under section 414 or Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code. It has also been submitted that the petitioner himself surrendered the vehicle along with the documents before the I.O. when he came to know that the vehicle which he has purchased is the stolen one and the person who has transferred the vehicle is running racket in selling such stolen vehicle.

Considering the aforesaid fact prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed. The petitioner namely Vinay Kumar Singh is directed to surrender before the C.J.M. Sasaram within four weeks from today and on his so surrendering he shall be enlarged on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties

of the like amount each to the satisfaction of C.J.M.Sasaram in connection with Dehri P.S.Case no.105 of 2007 subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(Mridula Mishra, J)

Sss/-

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL